

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,330	06/27/2003	Eric Gouriou	200206152-1	7978
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 11/01/200 CKARD COMPANY	7	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			FRANCIS, MARK P	
	AL PROPERTY ADM NS, CO 80527-2400	INISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	T A matter matter			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/608,330	GOURIOU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
**		Mark P. Francis	2193			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE PROVIDER OF THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	:				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10,11,13,29,30,32,35 and 37-39 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 10,11,13,29,30,32 and 37 is/are allow Claim(s) 35 and 38-39 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. red.				
Applicati	on Papers		·			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the appeal brief filed on July 23, 2007.
- 2. Claims 10-11,13,37,29-30,32 35, and 38-39 have been examined:
- 3. The finality of the office action dated December 06, 2006 has been withdrawn, a new rejection appears below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 35,38,and 39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krueger (U.S. Pat 6,247,041).

With respect to claim 35, Krueger discloses a computer readable memory that stores a system, (Col 2:44-50, "...where an operating system...") the system comprising:

A parent process configured to (Col 5:44-55, "...the fork system call is generated by a parent process..."), before a vfork call is executed by the parent process,(Col 5:50-60, "...an exec system call shortly after the fork system call to instantiate...") generate a pre-fork event that contains a process identifier of a child process that will be spawned from the parent process when the vfork system call is executed by the parent process;

Art Unit: 2193

(Col 6:28-38, "...the modified fork system call causes process to be stored on just one node...")

a process monitor configured to receive the pre-fork and process identifier before the vfork system call is executed by the parent process, (Col 9:30-40, "...rather than pacing a process on a specified node...") suspend execution of the parent process, (Col 11:1-15, "...the process moves to one of the nodes the system resource...") and generate a process monitor thread that enables observation of trace events generated by the child process. (Col 11:30-40, "...the locate system call...")

With respect to claim 38, the rejection of claim 35 is incorporated and further Krueger discloses that the process monitor is further configured to generate a process monitor that enables observation of the parent process upon resumption of execution of the parent process after receipt of indicia that the child process has invoked at least one of an exec system call and an exit system call or has been terminated by an operating system. (Col 6:28-38, "... the modified fork system call causes process to be stored on just one node...")

With respect to claim 39, the rejection of claim 38 is incorporated and further Krueger discloses that the process monitor is further configured to resume execution of the parent process. Col 5:44-55, "... the fork system call is generated by a parent process...")

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10-11,13,37, 29-30,32, are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35,38, and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark P. Francis whose telephone number is (571) 272-7956. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2193

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark P. Francis

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2193

MENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2160